A Model for Clustering Fish Community Structure with Application to Songkhla Lake Bi-monthly Catches 2003-2006
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Abstract

Monthly catch weights in Songkhla Lake were collected over the period from January 2003 to December 2006, for a total of 126 species. Catch weights were first aggregated by species and combination of bi-monthly season of year and catching gear (set bag net, trap, or gill net) and were log-transformed to remove skewness. A regression model containing three species-season/gear components was then used to predict these outcomes. The first component was represented by the most species of estuarine and marine vertebrates as well as some invertebrates and reflected the fact that set bag net was the gear that resulted in the highest catches. The second component mainly represented freshwater fish and some marine invertebrates, and reflected the fact that most of these species were caught by gill nets. The third component focused on the seasonal fluctuations in catch weight. Such models can provide further tools in understanding of fish community structure clusterings in fishery landings.
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Introduction

Fish assemblages and community structure are accepted as sensitive bioindicators of diversity index, habitat disturbances, environmental contamination, and ecological health (Dufrêne and Legendre, 1997; Hajisamae et al., 2006; Morris and Ball, 2006). Tropical aquatic ecosystems have high biodiversity but are threatened globally (Polunin, 2008). Songkhla Lake is the largest lagoonal water body of Thailand with a basin area of 8,729 km² including 1,017 km² of main lake water body. This Lake is one example of a tropical shallow lake facing critical water quality deterioration and loss of fish population (Chesoh and Lim, 2008). The Lake comprises three main ecosystems: fresh water in the upper lake, brackish water in the middle lake and saline water in the lower lake which connects to the Gulf of Thailand. Factors that influence such ecosystems include flow seasonality imposed by monsoonal rains and anthropogenic activity, especially destructive fishing (Welcomme et al., 2006). One of the most serious problems is the use of barrier gear to catch fish during their seasonal or ontogenic offshore migration.
between the lake and the open sea (Katselis et al., 2003). Fishing in Songkhla Lake differs spatially according to resources and conditions in each part of the lake. Various types of fishing gear are used in the Lake, both stationary and mobile. Most of these are traps, set-bag nets, and gill nets and such activities affect fish stock dynamics and ecosystems.

Protecting the biodiversity of such lake systems is the challenge faced by ecosystem scientists, who depend on reliable information to guide the protection and utilization of the resources. Important determinants of the quantity of fish catch are gear type (fishing technology) and season. However, few studies (for example, Mahévas et al., 2008) have investigated these predictors of fish clustering, and fisheries landing data are commonly subject to errors of various sources resulting in outliers when modeling (Chen et al., 2008). In this study, we apply a widely used regression model to cluster fish community structures in fish catch data from Songkhla Lake over a four year period.

The advantage of this study is that it provides a statistically valid model for measurement of species clustering that takes account of how the species are distributed with respect to the season of the year and the type of gear used. It can then be used to provide information for ecosystem–based approaches to fisheries management in Songkhla Lake, and in other shallow tropical habitats.

Materials and Methods

Study Area and Data Source

Songkhla Lake is shallow (depth 1-2 m) and located on the lower east coast of the peninsular opening to the Gulf of Thailand between latitudes of 7° 10' to 7° 50' N and longitudes of 100° 05' to 100° 40' E (Figure 1). The Basin spans about 150 kilometers from north to south and about 65 kilometers from east to west. The water regime is complex, with tidal and sea water intrusion influences from the Gulf of Thailand, runoff in monsoon seasons via twelve major rivers and various streams, and general drainage.

There are ten major fish catch landing sites around the entire Songkhla Lake (Figure 1): Khu Tao (KT), Kuan Nieng (KN), Pak Pa Yoon (PY), Jong Ke (JK), Lampam (LP), Thale Noi (TN), Ra Nod (RN), Ko Yai (KY), Khu Kud (KK) and Hua Khao Daeng (HD). These sites were selected for data collection from January 2003 to September 2005 by the National Institute of Coastal Aquaculture (NICA) of the Department of Fisheries of Thailand, and thereafter to December 2006 by the authors. Data include daily records of species, weight of the catch and the three main gear types used (set bag net, trap and gill net).

The set bag net is a traditional fishing gear widely used in the coastal areas of Asia. It is usually placed in a relatively deep channel with a relatively strong current. Having a small mesh size, it is non-selective for size and species. There are currently around 2,200 units, mostly located in the middle and lower zones of the Lake.

The trap is a small-sized stationary fishing gear, combined with seine wing-like barriers used for redirecting and then trapping shrimp and fish. Trap selectivity relies on the fish moving actively into the trap and catches depend on the duration of the soak, i.e. the time the trap is left on the fishing ground. The
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**Figure 1.** Map of Songkhla Lake and ten fish catch landing sites for data collection.
The catch weights used in this paper were computed as totals aggregated over all fishery landings, by species categorized following Choonhapran (1996), and by type of gear and period. Preliminary analysis involved comparing catch weight percentages with respect to species, type of gear used and year for various species groups.

Various statistical methods are available for clustering aquatic and marine organisms according to their patterns of variation in space and time (Hawkins et al., 2000; Joy and Death, 2000; Frédou et al., 2006). Clarke and Warwick (1994) have outlined many of these methods in detail. They include data transformation using square roots, fourth roots or logarithms. Since the catch weight \((wt)\) for a given species with a specified gear could be zero, we used the transformation:

\[
y = \ln(wt + C), \tag{1}\]

where \(C\) is an appropriate constant. We then fitted a multiple linear regression model to these transformed bi-monthly catches \(y_{sjt}\), where \(s\) denotes species with the values 1, 2, ..., 5, \(j\) denotes season-gear combination with the values 1, 2, ..., 5, \(J\) and \(t\) denotes year with values 1, 2, ..., 7, respectively. The model we used is identical to that used in population science by Booth et al. (2002) for forecasting human mortality in terms of age-group and year, and takes the form

\[
y_{sjt} = \mu_s + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_s^{(k)} \beta_j^{(k)} + \epsilon_{sjt}. \tag{2}\]

This model is an extension of the widely used method proposed by Lee and Carter (1992), which arises in the special case when \(m = 1\). For fixed \(m\), the model can be fitted by least-squares, where the \(\alpha_s^{(k)}\) components arise as the \(m\) eigenvectors of the data covariance matrix corresponding to its largest \(m\) eigenvalues.

The model has taxa-specific parameters \(\mu_s\) encapsulating the variation in catch weights between species, and sets of coefficients \(\alpha_s^{(k)}\), \(k = 1, 2, ..., m\), denoting the extent to which the taxa have each of the \(m\) specific season-gear patterns. Standard errors for these parameters can be obtained approximately by refitting model (2) as a multiple linear regression model in which the \(\beta_j^{(k)}\) terms are regarded as fixed predictors. The accuracy of this approximation will depend on the relative numbers of parameters in these predictors compared to the number of data records. In the present application, we found that choosing \(m=3\) gave a satisfactory fit. For this choice, the total number of parameters in the model is 552 \((126(m+1) = 504\) in \(\mu\) and \(\alpha_s^{(k)}\), and 48 in \(\beta_j^{(k)}\) allowing for the fact that the \(m\) eigenvectors form an orthonormal set). The number of data records is 126x18x4 = 9072 corresponding to the 126 species, 18 gear-season combinations and 4 years, so the number of data records exceeds the number of \(\beta_j^{(k)}\) by a factor of 189, so the approximation should be sufficiently accurate for all practical purposes.

A detailed analysis of the goodness-of-fit that highlights individual anomalies involves graphing residuals against corresponding quantiles from the standardized normal distribution.

We used the R statistical system (Venables and Smith, 2004) for statistical model fitting, assessing the goodness-of-fit, and plotting data, fitted models, estimated parameters and confidence intervals based on the estimated standard errors.

### Results

**General catch information**

During the 4 year study period from January 2003 to December 2006, the mean annual catch in Songkhla Lake was 2,499.9 tonnes (range 2,388.2 – 2,643.0). They were caught by three major types of fishing gear: set-bag net (64.7% of catch weight), followed by traps (21.8%) and gill nets (13.5%), as shown in Table 1. A total of 127 species belonging to 68 families were caught: 53 marine vertebrate; 30 estuarine vertebrate; 21 freshwater vertebrate; 4...
estuarine invertebrate; 18 marine invertebrate and 1 freshwater invertebrate species. The ten families with the highest percentages of catch-weight constituted 62.8% of the total annual landing: Penaeidae (24.4%), Leiognathidae (18.9%), Gobiidae (10.6%), Clupeidae (9.6%), Ariidae (9.3%), Cyprinidae (6.7%), Mugillidae (5.9%), Bragridae (5.0%), Paleamonidae (4.9%), and Chandidae (4.8%). The four leading species (Broadhead anchovy, Sumatran silverside, Chacunda gizzard shad, and Black tiger shrimp) accounted for 21.5% of the total catch weight, contributing 6.4, 5.3, 5.3 and 4.5 percent, respectively.

Distribution of Catch Patterns

Table 1. The total catch weights (tonnes) and average percentage of 6 fish groups caught by 3 types of gear during 2003-2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gear</th>
<th>year</th>
<th>Freshwater invertebrate (1 sp.)</th>
<th>Freshwater vertebrate (21 sp)</th>
<th>Estuarine invertebrate (4 sp)</th>
<th>Estuarine vertebrate (30 sp)</th>
<th>Marine invertebrate (18 sp)</th>
<th>Marine vertebrate (53 sp)</th>
<th>Total (127 sp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set bag net</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>639.2</td>
<td>572.4</td>
<td>1,517.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>789.9</td>
<td>608.8</td>
<td>1,702.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>681.5</td>
<td>582.7</td>
<td>1,600.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>839.8</td>
<td>572.3</td>
<td>1,651.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trap</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>179.4</td>
<td>211.3</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>532.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>225.3</td>
<td>222.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>594.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>200.5</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>574.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>174.6</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>473.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill net</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>178.1</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>338.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>346.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>170.1</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>343.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>324.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.8 (1.4%)</td>
<td>277.5 (11.1%)</td>
<td>380.7 (15.2%)</td>
<td>283.9 (11.4%)</td>
<td>849.9 (34.0%)</td>
<td>672.0 (26.9%)</td>
<td>2,499.9 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average catch weights for 126 aquatic animal species (excluding swamp eel which cannot be caught by gill net) using bi-month data groupings for the period 2003 to 2006, were modeled using Equations (1) and (2) with C=0.1 and m=3.

The goodness of fit of the model shows that the model with three components fitted the data reasonably well, with $r^2$ equal to 0.923. The plot of observed versus fitted values (left panel of Figure 2) shows no evidence of variance inhomogeneity. While the residuals plot indicates some departure from the normality assumption (Figure 2) that could possibly be reduced by increasing the number of components, any such statistical gain needs to be weighed against...
increased complexity of the model, and with a sample size of 9072 records even a slight departure from normality will be statistically significant. Because the design is balanced the standard errors for the $\alpha_i^{(k)}$ coefficients are all the same, having an estimated value of 0.234. (Note that the $\mu$ coefficients reflect the overall catch weights regardless of seasonal changes, and thus depend largely on the biomass of the various species in the Lake.)

The three components ($\beta_i^{(1)}, \beta_i^{(2)}, \beta_i^{(3)}$) are plotted in Figure 3. All series display interpretable patterns. The first component for each gear type confirms the dominance of the set bag net catch with a maximum catch in the March-April period and some gradual seasonal patterns, peaking in May and June, for the other gear types. Typical species that follow this pattern are characterized by the candy-stripe cardinal fish (Apogon endekataenia), Burmese river gizzard shad, (Anodontostoma chacunda), and streaked spinefoot (Siganus javus). The brownback trevally (Carangoides praeustus) is representative of the first component and its typical pattern is shown in the upper panel of Figure 4.

The first component thus contained most species of estuarine and marine vertebrates as well as some invertebrates and reflects the fact that set bag net was the gear that resulted in the highest fish catches for these species.

**Figure 3.** Plots of season-gear patterns for fish catches during 2003-2006.

**Figure 4.** Plots of bi-monthly catch weights in log scale for typical species by each gear type following the dominant patterns from 2003 to 2006.
In contrast, the second component shows a similar seasonal pattern for each gear type with lowest catches in the March to June period, for which the gill net predominates and the trap contributes less. This pattern is characterized by species such as the Gunther walking catfish, (*Clarias macrocephalus*), Giant snakehead (*Channa micropeltes*) and Banana Prawn (*Penaeus merguiensis*). The largescale archerfish (*Toxotes chatareus*), and the stork shrimp (*Metapenaeus tenuipes*) are representative of the second component and its typical pattern is shown in the middle panel of Figure 4. Note that freshwater species were largely caught in gill nets while invertebrates were largely caught in traps.

Finally, the third component has approximately the same magnitude and seasonal pattern for each type of gear, decreasing substantially from highest catches in January-February to lowest catches in November-December. This component shows a seasonal pattern not present in any species: all occurrences were hybrid patterns. The species closest to this pattern was the Dusky jack (*Caranx sexfasciatus*) as shown in the lower panel of Figure 4.

### Clustering of Fish Community Structure

Figure 5 shows various views of the estimated coefficients for the three components. Distinctive fish community clusters can be seen clearly. The dominant cluster comprises 90 species containing all marine and estuarine vertebrate species and seven species of marine invertebrates. Two distinct sub-clusters are clearly separated, as well as a small group of four species. The first sub-cluster of 20 species comprises all freshwater vertebrates, and the second comprises 12 marine invertebrate species. The small group contains the Indopacific mackerel (*Scomberomorus guttatus*), streaked seerfish (*Scomberomorus lineolatus*) and bigeye trevally (*Caranx sexfasciatus*), as well as an isolated species of cuttlefish (*Sepioteuthis lessoniana*).

### Discussion

Throughout the study, our statistical model identified three component patterns for species catch weights. The model provided an r-squared value of 92.3%. With the first three components, 93% of the total variance could be explained. The model was very effective in clearly separating fish community clusters with absolutely no overlap between clusters, freshwater and saltwater fish. The patterns for each typical component showed that bi-monthly fluctuations in the abundance of fish species assemblages depended on the fishing gear used, especially for the first component, and for the second.

![Figure 5](image-url)
component depended on the interaction between season and type of gear. The third component pattern focused on the seasonal fluctuation in catch weight, not exactly followed by any species found.

Fish diversity, recruitment and production depend greatly on limnological characteristics. Fish species composition in a tropical shallow lake, like Songkhla Lake, requires a species-specific environment. Generally, fluctuations of the water quality affect the species distribution, with aquatic animal species either tolerant to the environment or migrating to another environment (Katselis et al., 2003; Labropoulou and Papaconstantinou, 2004). Fishing is usually based on trapping the fish on their passage from their feeding ground to spawning and nursery grounds. Traditionally, fish barrier traps are stationary passive gear and their catch depends on their physical features and placement location. Catch landings also depend on the type of gear used. Gill nets have high catchability for freshwater fish because they are the most common gear used in the upper lake zone, where the water is always turbid and windswept, reducing visibility for freshwater fish and so increasing catchability. In contrast, the giant freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii), and some marine shrimps and crabs comprise the highest catch in traps.

The patterns also indicated increasing freshwater catch weights, while marine invertebrate catches decreased, signaling that lake fisheries resources need to be regulated. Fishing is the most important human impact affecting the fish community structure in lakes (Kangur et al., 2007). Therefore, restricting the number and placement of traps will increase efficiently the stocks of all economic invertebrates, such as the blue swimming crab, acetes, cross-marked swimming crab, serrated swimming crab, squid, cuttlefish, octopus and giant freshwater prawn. Similar control of gill nets will be effective in increasing populations of all freshwater fish, and controlling set bag nets should increase stocks of estuarine and marine vertebrates.

Although several species are ubiquitous in all habitats, others are anadromous, catadromous, amphidromous, and oceanodromous and so have specific habitats in Songkhla Lake. Our regression model, based on the log-transformed catch weights of classified species and season-gear combinations, clearly separates distinctive fish community clusters.

The overall fishing effort in the Lake was reasonably stable over the period of the study, with both fishing gear and number of fishermen not changing substantially (NICA, 2007). While the study had some limitations, in that fishing effort and correlation of the environmental parameters were not taken into account, we recommend that this model can provide more interpretable information and will generally benefit the understanding for clustering of fish community structure in fishery data.
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